

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1038/2021 AND CRL.M.A. 5329/2021, CRL.M.A. 13283/2021, CRL.M.A. 13284/2021 & CRL.M.A. 16258/2021**

SANJAY DHINGRA & ANR. Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nikhil Goyal, SPP, Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Advocate for CBI.
Mr. Kush Sharma, Mr. Shubhankar, Ms. Asiya Khan, Advocates for R-2 to R-6 & R-9 to R-11.
Mr. Santosh Kumar Rout, for R-7 & R-8.
Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC, for R-12.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

15.05.2023

%

CRL.M.A. 15641/2021 & CRL.M.A. 20604/2022

1. The arguments have been addressed on behalf of respondent-CBI as well as on behalf of the respondent Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9 to 11.
2. Mr. Bakul Jain, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate. He submits that Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate is in Kolkata so he is not able to come to the Court to address the arguments today.
3. As per the vakalatnama filed on behalf of the petitioner, Mr.

Gurpreet Singh, Advocate is not the counsel in this case and there are three other counsels whose vakalatnamas are on record, however, none of them is appearing before this Court today.

4. In the interest of justice, one last opportunity is granted to the petitioners to address the arguments.

5. Mr. Bakul Jain, Advocate submits that the applications and petitions have been signed by Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate.

6. In my opinion, simply signing the petitions does not give a right to the counsel to argue the matter as otherwise there would be no purpose for filing the vakalatnama in this case.

7. The vakalatnama in these circumstances be redundant if the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner is to be appreciated.

8. List on 16th May 2023.

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

MAY 15, 2023/K