



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2024

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. N. V. ANJARIA, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

WRIT PETITION NO. 26739 OF 2023 (GM-RES-PIL)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI BHIMAPPA GUNDAPPA GADAD
S/O. LATE GUNDAPPA GADAD
AGED 64 YEARS,
PLOT NO.2125, KEB (HESCOM)
MUDALAGI, TALUK MUDALIGE
BELAGUM DISTRICT - 591 312.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI S. UMAPATHI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE – 560 001.
2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND
ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS,
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BANGALORE - 560 001.





3. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND
PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE – 560 001.

4. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT
DEPARTMENT OF KANNADA AND CULTURE
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA, VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE – 560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NILOUFER AKBAR, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS, AN ORDER OR DIRECTION DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO PLACE THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE CONSTITUTED VIDE ANNEXURE-G BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT FOR ITS CONSIDERATION AND TO PASS APPROPRIATE ORDERS THEREON IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW & ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE
N. V. ANJARIA
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE N. V. ANJARIA)

Heard learned advocate Mr. S. Umapathi for the petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate Smt. Niloufer Akbar for the respondents.

2. In the present petition styled as public interest petition, the petitioner has advanced demand for separate flag for the State of Karnataka for various reasons sought to be pleaded by him.

3. In this context, the prayer was made to direct the respondent-State and its authorities to place the recommendation of the Committee which was stated to be constituted for consideration of this Court.

4. It was further prayed to issue writ of mandamus requiring the respondents to pass such speaking order on the petitioner's representations dated 18.09.2023 and 16.10.2023.



5. It has to be observed that the matter and grievance of such nature would hardly fall within the domain of the Court's jurisdiction, much less in the realm of public interest jurisdiction to be exercised by the Court.

6. However, the petitioner is not precluded from pursuing his representations which are stated to have been pending. The Court does not express any opinion on the merits of the representations.

7. The petition as public interest petition is thoroughly misconceived and it is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-
(N. V. ANJARIA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(K. V. ARAVIND)
JUDGE