

ITEM NO.23

COURT NO.2

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SMWP(CRIMINAL) NO.. 4/2021

IN RE POLICY STRATEGY FOR GRANT OF BAIL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

Respondent(s)

(MR. GAURAV AGRAWAL, ADV. IS AMICUS CURIAE  
IA No. 203407/2022 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION)  
IA NO. 203408/2022- DIRECTIONS  
IA NO. 21741/20330 EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 529/2021 (II-C)  
(FOR ADMISSION AND I.R AND MR. NEERAJ KUMAR JAIN, SR. ADVOCATE  
(A.C.), MR. GAURAV AGRAWAL, ADVOCATE FOR NATIONAL LEGAL SERVICES  
AUTHORITY, MR. DEVANSH A. MOHTA, ADVOCATE (A.C.), MR. ABHIMANYU  
TEWARI, ADVOCATE FOR STATE OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH, MR. YOGESH KANNA,  
ADVOCATE FOR STATE OF TAMIL NADU, MR. CHANCHAL K. GANGULI, Advocate  
for STATE OF WEST BENGAL, MRS. NIRANJANA SINGH Advocate for State  
of Bihar, MR. MILIND KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR STATE OF RAJASTHAN, MR.  
NIKHIL GOEL, ADVOCATE FOR HIGH COURT OF GUJRAT, MR. SARVESH SINGH  
BAGHEL, ADVOCATE FOR STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH, MAHFOOZ A NAZKI FOR  
STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, MR. SACHIN PATIL FOR STATE OF  
MAHARASHTRA, MR. SUBHRANSHU PADHI FOR STATE OF KARNATAKA, MR.  
GARVESH KABRA FOR STATE OF UP, MR. G.S MAKKER FOR ANDAMAN AND  
NICOBAR ISLANDS, MR. HARSHAD V HAMEED FOR STATE OF KERALA, MR.  
SHOVAN MISHRA, ADVOCATE FOR STATE OF ODISHA, DR. MONIKA GUSAIN,  
ADVOCATE FOR STATE OF HARYANA AND MR. ABHINAV MUKERJI, ADVOCATE FOR  
STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, Mr. Aadiya Aniruddha Pande, Advocate  
for State of Maharashtra, Mr. Pukhrbham Ramesh Kumar, Advocate for  
State of Manipur, Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Advocate for State of  
Gujarat, Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Advocate for State of Assam, Mr.  
Pashupatinath Razdan, Advocate for State of MP. [FOR FURTHER  
DIRECTIONS])

Date : 31-01-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA

By Courts Motion, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv. (AC)

## VERDICTUM.IN

2

Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, Sr. Adv. (AC)  
Mr. Sanjay Singh, Adv.

Mr. Devansh A Mohta, Adv. (AC) (NP)

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Liz Mathew, AOR (AC)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K.M. Nataraj, Ld. ASG  
Mr. Jayant Sud, Ld. ASG  
Mr. Mukesh K Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Neeraj K Sharma, Adv.  
Mrs. Indra Bhaker, Adv.  
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Adv.  
Mr. B.K. Satiya, Adv.  
Mr. S.N. Terdol, AOR  
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Ms. Prachi Mishra, A.A.G.  
Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR  
Mr. Gaurav Arora, Adv.

Mr. Partha Sil, AOR  
Mr. Tavish Bhushan Prasad, Adv.  
Ms. Sayani Bhattacharya, Adv.

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR

Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, AOR  
Mr. Abhishek Chatterjee, Adv.

Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, AOR  
Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Raj Sharma, D.A.G.  
Mr. Paras Dutta, Adv.  
Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR

Dr. Sumant Bharadwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Vedant Bharadwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Mridula Ray Bharadwaj, AOR

Mr. Divyakant Lahoti, AOR  
Mr. Parikshit Ahuja, Adv.  
Ms. Praveena Bisht, Adv.  
Ms. Madhur Jhavar, Adv.  
Ms. Vindhya Mehra, Adv.  
Mr. Kartik Lahoti, Adv.  
Ms. Garima Verma, Adv.

Mr. Basava Prabhu S Patil, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Raghavendra S Srivatsa, AOR  
Mr. Venkita Subramoniam T.R., Adv.  
Mr. Likhi Chand Bonsle, Adv.  
Ms. Komal Mundhra, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.  
Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.

Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR

Mr. A. Hari Prasad, Sr. Adv.,  
Mr. V. K. Biju, AOR  
Ms. Ria Sachthey, Adv.  
Mr. Chetanya Singh, Adv.  
Dr. Ranjit Bharti, Adv.  
Mrs. Rubina Jawed, Adv.

Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AOR

Mr. Mahesh Thakur, AOR  
Mr. Maibam Nabaghanashyam Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Mahesh Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Raghavendra S. Srivatsa, AOR

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR  
Mr. Polanki Gowtham, Adv.  
Mr. T Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. Shaik Mohamad Haneef, Adv.  
Ms. Rajeswari Mukherjee, Adv.  
Ms. Niti Richhariya, Adv.

Mr. Kedar Nath Tripathy, AOR

M/S. KSN & Co., AOR

Mr. Harshad V. Hameed, AOR  
Mr. Dileep Poolakkot, Adv.  
Mr. Subhash Chandran K.r., Adv.  
Mrs. Ashly Harshad, Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.  
Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv.  
Ms. Manya Hasija, Adv.  
Mr. Akash Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Nihar Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Ms. Sampriti B., Adv.

Mr. Nirminesh Dube, AOR

Mr. Debojit Borkakati, AOR

Mr. Sahil Tagotra, AOR  
Ms. Abhivyakti Banerjee, Adv.  
Ms. Sakshi Garg, Adv.

Mr. Shovan Mishra, AOR  
Ms. Bipasa Tripathy, Adv.

Ms. Prachi Mishra, A.A.G.  
Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR  
Mr. Gaurav Arora, Adv.

Ms. Ankita Choudhary, Dy. A.G.  
Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR  
Mr. Manoj Kukmar, Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.  
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Sharma, Adv.  
For M/S. Arputham Aruna And Co, AOR

Mr. Vinod Ghai, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Pal, AOR  
Mr. Mayank Dahiya, Adv.  
Ms. Bhupinder, Adv.  
Ms. Sugandh Rathor, Adv.  
Ms. Priyanka C., Adv.

Mr. V.K. Khanna, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv.  
Ms. Yamini Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Niharika Dewedi, Adv.  
Mr. Narendra Pal Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Kumar Chawla, Adv.  
For Mr. Anil Shrivastava, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR  
Mr. Shobhit Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Aakash Nandolia, Adv.  
Mr. Sagun Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Aravindh S., AOR  
Mr. Abbas.b, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR  
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.  
Ms. Kirti Dadheech, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR  
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR  
Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.  
Mrs. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, AOR  
Mr. Ashutosh Chaturvedi, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR  
Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.  
Mr. Kynpham V. Khalyngdoh, Adv.  
Mr. Daniel Lyngdoh, Adv.  
Ms. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.  
Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. P.S. Negi, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR  
Mr. Ravinder Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Shreyaj Awasthi, Adv.  
Ms. Mantika Haryani, Adv.  
Mr. Devvrat Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Muskan Surana, Adv.

Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, AOR  
Mr. Chetan Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Prasanna, Adv.  
Ms. Priyal Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Udit Bakshi, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Goel, AOR

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, AOR

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR  
Ms. Nishi Sangtani, Adv.  
Ms. Vani Vandana Chhetri, Adv.

Mr. Pashupatinath Razdan, AOR

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR

Mr. Abhinav Mukherji, AOR

Mr. Yogesh Kanna, AOR

Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Soumitra G Chaudhuri, Adv.  
Mr. Shashwat Panda, Adv.

Ms. Vandana Tiwari, Adv.  
Ms. Simran Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Chanchal K Ganguli, AOR

Mr. Niranjana Singh, AOR

Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR

Mr. Subhranshu Padhi, AOR

Mrs. Garima Prashad, Sr. Adv./AAG

Mr. Garvesh Kabra, AOR

Mr. Savesh Singh Baghel, AOR

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Mrs. K Enatoli Sema, AOR

Ms. Limayinla Jamir, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.

Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.

Mr. V. Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv./AAG

Dr. Joseph Aristotle S., AOR

Mr. Shobhit Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. sanjeev Kr. Mahara, Adv.

Ms. Richa Vishwakarma , Adv.

Ms. Vaidehi Rastogi, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR

Mr. Baij Nath Patel, Adv.

Mr. Umesh Kr. Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Keshari, Adv.

Ms. Sweta, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Yash S Vijay, Adv.

Mr. Chandratannay Chubey, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

It is pointed out that there is bereavement in the family of Mr. Devansh A. Mohta, learned Amicus Curiae and the matter may be deferred for consideration.

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, learned Amicus Curiae

however, submits that some aspects with which Mr. Mohta is not concerned at present can be dealt with.

SMWP(CRIMINAL) NO. 4/2021 :

EXECUTION OF BAIL ORDERS

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, learned Amicus Curiae has drawn our attention to order dated 29.11.2022 qua the issue of undertrial prisoners who continue to be in custody despite having been granted the benefit of bail on account of their inability to fulfill the conditions. In this behalf the report by the NALSA has been placed before us filed on 30.01.2023. After recording the discussions which have been held, it has been stated in para 5 of the report that there are 5,000 undertrial prisoners who were in jail, despite grant of bail, out of which, 2,357 persons were provided legal assistance and now 1,417 persons have since been released.

One of the main reasons flagged why the accused are in jail despite the grant of bail is that he may be an accused in multiple cases and is apparently not willing to furnish bail bonds until he is given bail in all the cases as undertrial custody will be counted in all the cases.

*De hors* this, it is pointed out that to ensure that the remaining undertrial prisoners who

are unable to furnish surety or bail bonds due to poverty, NALSA is in the process of creating a master data of all such undertrial prisoners in excel sheet with all relevant details, including, reasons for non-release and steps qua persons who are unable to furnish bail bonds or surety are being taken up with the respective SLSAs/DLSAs and result would be obtainable in about one or two months' time.

Learned Amicus Curiae has also drawn our attention to the discussions with Shri Shashikant Sharma, HOD and Sr. Technical Director of NIC recording that five meetings were held along with the participation of Shri K.M. Nataraj, learned ASG and Home Ministry officials. A Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) has been prepared by NIC which also deals with this aspect. A relevant aspect is that the NIC e-prison software, which is working in about 1,300 jails in the country, would now have a field where the date of grant of bail would have to be entered by the jail authorities. If the accused is not released within seven days of the date of grant of bail, the e-prison software would automatically generate a flag/reminder and simultaneously the e-mail would be sent to the office of the concerned DLSA so that the DLSA can find out the reason for non-release of the accused. The SOP has para 2.4 under the heading "Bailed out but not Released"



which facility enables prison user to access the data of inmates, to assist in identifying inmates who are bailed out but not released due to some reasons like sureties or pending cases.

Insofar as the discussion with TISS is concerned, some suggestions are stated to be made but learned Amicus Curiae submits that a more detailed work out of that is necessary.

Another issue which has crept up during discussion is whether the Government would give access to this portal on a protected basis to the Secretaries of the SLSAs and DLSAs which would facilitate better follow up.

We call upon the Government of India to discuss this issue with NALSA so that necessary directions, if any, can be passed. Learned ASG would obtain instructions in that behalf by the next date.

With a view to ameliorate the problems a number of directions are sought. We have examined the directions which we reproduce hereinafter with certain modifications:

"1) The Court which grants bail to an undertrial prisoner/convict would be required to send a soft copy of the bail order by e-mail to the prisoner through the Jail Superintendent on the same day or the next day. The Jail Superintendent would be required to enter the date of grant of bail in the e-prisons software [or any other software which is being used by the Prison

Department].

2) If the accused is not released within a period of 7 days from the date of grant of bail, it would be the duty of the Superintendent of Jail to inform the Secretary, DLSA who may depute para legal volunteer or jail visiting advocate to interact with the prisoner and assist the prisoner in all ways possible for his release.

3) NIC would make attempts to create necessary fields in the e-prison software so that the date of grant of bail and date of release are entered by the Prison Department and in case the prisoner is not released within 7 days, then an automatic email can be sent to the Secretary, DLSA.

4) The Secretary, DLSA with a view to find out the economic condition of the accused, may take help of the Probation Officers or the Para Legal Volunteers to prepare a report on the socio-economic conditions of the inmate which may be placed before the concerned Court with a request to relax the condition (s) of bail/surety.

5) In cases where the undertrial or convict requests that he can furnish bail bond or sureties once released, then in an appropriate case, the Court may consider granting temporary bail for a specified period to the accused so that he can furnish bail bond or sureties.

6) If the bail bonds are not furnished within one month from the date of grant bail, the concerned Court may suo moto take up the case and consider whether the conditions of bail require modification/relaxation.

7) One of the reasons which delays the release of the accused/ convict is the insistence upon local surety. It is suggested that in such cases, the courts may not impose the condition of local surety."

We order that the aforesaid directions shall be

complied with.

IA No. 203407/2022-INTERVENTION, IA NO. 203408/2022-DIRECTIONS AND 21741/2023- EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T

Application for exemption from filing O.T. is allowed.

IA No. 203407/2022 and IA NO. 203408/2022 have been filed for intervention and appropriate directions by the National Law University, Delhi through Fair Trial Fellowship Programme under Project 39A.

We are of the view that instead of looking to the suggestions and directions sought, more appropriate course of action would be for Shri Gaurav Agrawal, learned Amicus to look into them on behalf of the NALSA and accordingly make suggestions to us taking them as inputs.

List the applications along with SMWP(Criminal) No. 4/2021 and SLP(Crl) No. 529/2021 on 28.03.2023.

[CHARANJEET KAUR]  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

[POONAM VAID]  
COURT MASTER (NSH)