REPORTABLE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6744-6758 OF 2022

Harpal Singh and Anr. Etc. Etc.

Versus

State of Punjab Etc. Etc.

<u>WITH</u>

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6740-6743 OF 2022

Nasib Singh and Anr. Etc. Etc.

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors. Etc. Etc.

<u>WITH</u>

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6734-6739 OF 2022

Mehar Singh and Anr. Etc. Etc.

Versus

State of Punjab Etc. Etc.

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

...Respondent(s)

...Appellant(s)

...Respondent(s)

...Appellant(s)

...Appellant(s)

...Respondent(s)

<u>M.R. SHAH, J.</u>

1. As common question of law and facts arise in these group of appeals, all these appeals are being decided and disposed of by this common judgment and order.

2. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied with the impugned common judgment(s) and order(s) dated 01.05.2012 / 02.03.2009 / 09.11.2009 passed in the respective first appeals, the particulars of which are as under:-

SI. No	Particulars	RFA No.	Date of Order	Section 4 Notification date
1.	Harpal Singh and Anr. Vs. State of Punjab	3941/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
2.	Parkash Singh (Dead) Thr. LRs. Vs. State of Punjab	3942/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
3.	Ajaib Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab	3943/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
4.	Gian Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.	3944/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
5.	Amirk Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab	3945/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
6.	Parkash Singh (Dead) Thr. LRs. and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab	3946/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
7.	Bakhtaur Singh (Dead) Thr. LRs. Vs. State of Punjab and Ors.	3947/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
8.	Bachan Singh (Dead) Thr. LRs. Vs. State of Punjab	3948/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002

		00.40/0007	04.05.0040	01.11.0000
9.	Labh Singh (Dead) Thr.	3949/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
	LRs. and Anr. Vs. State			
	of Punjab			
10.	Ajmer Singh and Anr. Vs.	3952/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
	State of Punjab			
11.	Sajjan Singh Vs. State of	3953/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
	Punjab			
12.	Tarlochan Singh and Ors.	4485/2007	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
± <i>–</i> .	Vs. State of Punjab and	1100/2001	01.00.2012	21.11.2002
	Ors.			
13.	Gurdev Singh and Ors.	1448/2008	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
13.	•	1440/2000	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
	Vs. State of Punjab and			
	Anr.	0074/0000	01.05.0010	01 11 0000
14.	Amar Singh and Ors. Vs.	2871/2008	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
	State of Punjab			
15.	Gurdip Singh and Ors.	3974/2008	01.05.2012	21.11.2002
	Vs. State of Punjab			
16.	Mehar Singh and Anr. Vs.	784/2007	02.03.2009	21.02.2000
	State of Punjab			
17.	Mewa Singh (Dead) and	783/2007	02.03.2009	21.02.2000
	Ors. Vs. State of Punjab			
18.	Jagir Singh (dead) and	2715/2007	02.03.2009	21.02.2000
	Ors. Vs. State of Punjab			
19.	Norata Singh (Dead) and	4319/2006	02.03.2009	21.02.2000
_	Ors. Vs. State of Punjab			
20.	Hardial Singh (Dead) and	4300/2006	09.11.2009	21.02.2000
	Ors. Vs. State of Punjab	1000,2000		
	and Anr.			
21.	Jagir Singh (Dead) and	1614/2000	28.01.2010	21.02.2000
	Ors. Vs. State of Punjab	1014/2000	20.01.2010	21.02.2000
	and Anr.			
22.		3112/2005	02.03.2009	21.02.2000
ZZ.	Nasib Singh and Anr. Vs.	3112/2003	02.03.2009	21.02.2000
22	State of Punjab and Ors.	4210/2000	02 02 2000	21 02 2000
23.	Sher Singh (Dead) Thr.	4318/2006	02.03.2009	21.02.2000
	LRs. and Anr. Vs. State			
	of Punjab			
24.	Manjit Kaur Vs. State of	4088/2007	02.03.2009	21.02.2000
	Punjab and Anr.			
25.	Karnail Singh and Ors.	1468/2008	09.11.2009	21.02.2000
	Vs. State of Punjab and			
	Anr.			

determining the compensation @ Rs. 19,85,700/- per acre in respective first appeals, except RFA No. 1614 of 2000, the original claimants/landowners have preferred the present appeals.

2.1 In RFA No.1614 of 2000, the High Court has awarded the compensation @ Rs. 7,80,000/- per acre.

3. At the outset, it is required to be noted that while determining the amount of compensation @ Rs. 19,85,700/- per acre in the respective first appeals, the High Court has relied upon its earlier decision in the case of Surjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Another (RFA No. 3004/2006 decided on 2.3.2009). So far as Civil Appeal arising out of RFA No. 1614 of 2000 is concerned, the High Court has determined the amount of compensation @ Rs. 7,80,000/- per acre alongwith solatium relying upon its earlier decision in the case of Kapoor Singh Vs. The State of Punjab & Another (RFA No. 2348/1998 decided on 28.01.2010).

4. It is not in dispute that the aforesaid decisions of the High Court in the cases of **Surjit Singh (supra)** & **Kapoor Singh (supra)** were the subject matter of appeals before this Court. In the case of **Kapoor Singh (supra)** and other allied first appeals, this Court has enhanced the amount of compensation by a further sum of Rs.1,00,000/- and in the case of **Surjit Singh (supra)**, the same has been enhanced by a

further sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- per acre, payable by the Greater Mohali Area Development Authority with interest and solatium as prescribed by the Statute from the date of the orders passed by the High Court (vide common order dated 15.01.2014 passed in Civil Appeal Nos. 738-748/2014 – Kapoor Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Another Etc. and Civil Appeal No. 363/2013 – Surjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. Etc.).

5. It is not in dispute that the landowners in the present appeals are also similarly situated. As observed hereinabove, while determining the compensation by the impugned common judgment and order/s, the High Court has relied upon its earlier decisions in the cases of **Surjit Singh (supra)** & **Kapoor Singh (supra)** respectively. Therefore, the present appeals are also required to be disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the cases of **Surjit Singh (supra)**, by enhancing the amount of compensation by a further sum of Rs.2,00,000/- per acre and Rs. 1,00,000/- per acre respectively. However, there is a substantial delay in preferring the first appeals. Therefore, we deem it appropriate to deny the statutory benefits including interest on the enhanced amount of compensation from the date of the judgment/s and order/s passed by the High Court

till the present appeals (special leave petitions) have been preferred before this Court.

6. In view of the above facts, all these appeals are partly allowed. Accordingly, we enhance the amount of compensation payable to the landowners by a further sum of Rs. 1.00.000/- per acre in Civil Appeal arising out of RFA No. 1614 of 2000 dated 28.01.2010. We enhance the amount of compensation payable to the landowners by a further sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- per acre in remaining Civil Appeals arising out of impugned common judgment(s) and order(s) dated 01.05.2012 / 02.03.2009 / 09.11.2009. It is also ordered that the original landowners shall be entitled to solatium as prescribed under the statute on the enhanced amount of compensation. It is also further ordered that the original landowners / claimants shall not be entitled to any statutory benefits including the interest under the Land Acquisition Act from the date of respective judgment(s) and order(s) passed by the High Court till the filing of the appeals in this Court. The enhanced amount of compensation shall be deposited by the Greater Mohali Area Development Authority within three months from today before the Reference Court.

7. All these appeals are accordingly disposed of in the aforesaid terms. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

.....J. [M.R. SHAH]

.....J. [KRISHNA MURARI]

NEW DELHI; SEPTEMBER 23, 2022.