

ITEM NO.14

COURT NO.11

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 18219/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-07-2022 in CRP No. 13/2019 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At Bengaluru)

DRAKSHAYANAMMA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GIRISH G & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.94784/2023-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.94785/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.94787/2023-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS and IA No.94786/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

Date : 21-08-2023 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Manju Jetley, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners.

The Trial Court has already framed the issue of limitation. After having perused the plaint, we agree with the High Court that this was not a case where the plaint could have been rejected on the ground of bar of limitation.

Before we part with the matter, we must record here that the

plaint runs into 10 pages, the order of the Trial Court runs into 10 pages and the impugned order of the High Court has 6 pages. However, there are more than 60 pages of synopsis and 27 pages of the SLP. Such a bulky synopsis ought to be avoided.

No case for interference under Article 136 of the Constitution of India is made out. The Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

However, the issue of limitation is kept open.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(INDU MARWAH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER(NSH)