



W.P.(MD)No.23345 of 2023

WEB COPY

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**DATED: 25.09.2023**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

**W.P.(MD)No. 23345 of 2023**

Chelliah Meenambigai

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commercial of CGST and Central Excise,  
O/o. the Commercial of CGST and Central Excise,  
Central Revenue Buildings,  
Bibikulam,  
Madurai - 625002.

2.The Superintendent  
West Veli Street,  
Central Revenue Building,  
No.4, Lal Bhahadur Shastri Road,  
Bibikulam,  
Madurai-625002.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order of cancellation of Registration in Reference No.ZA331119091311U dated 21.11.2019 quash the same and direct the



WEB COPY

respondents to revoke the cancellation of Petitioner's GSTIN.  
33BTSPM3665D1ZH.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Veeramanikandan

For Respondents : Mr.R.Nanda Kumar  
Senior Standing Counsel  
assisted by Mrs.S.Ragaventhree  
Junior Standing Counsel

**ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed to quash the impugned order of cancellation of Registration in Reference No.ZA331119091311U, dated 21.11.2019 and seeking direction to the respondents to revoke the cancellation of Petitioner's GSTIN.33BTSPM3665D1ZH.

2. Heard Mr.R.Veeramanikandan, the Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr.R.Nanda Kumar, the Learned Senior Standing Counsel for Mrs.S.Ragaventhree, the Learned Junior Standing Counsel, appearing for the respondents and perused the material documents available on record. With consent of both the parties this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.



WEB COPY

3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner was unaware of the cancellation of the Registration Certificate and after some time, the petitioner was informed by the other end tax payers that the petitioner became aware that his GSTN registration stood cancelled. Thereafter, the Petitioner preferred an appeal before the appellate authority. The appellate authority has rejected the appeal on the ground that it was beyond the period of limitation.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that in identical circumstances, this Court, in the case of Tvl.Suguna Cutpiece Vs Appellate Deputy Commissioner (ST) (GST) and others (W.P.Nos.25048, 25877, 12738 of 2021 etc... batch), dated 31.01.2022, issued the following directions:

*229. "In the light of the above discussion, these Writ Petitions are allowed subject to the following conditions:*

*i. The petitioners are directed to file their returns for the period prior to the cancellation of registration, if such returns have not been already filed, together with tax defaulted which has not been paid prior to cancellation along with interest for such belated payment of tax and fine and fee fixed for belated filing of returns for the defaulted period under the provisions of the Act, within a*



WEB COPY

*period of forty five (45) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if it has not been already paid.*

*ii. It is made clear that such payment of Tax, Interest, fine / fee and etc. shall not be allowed to be made or adjusted from and out of any Input Tax Credit which may be lying unutilized or unclaimed in the hands of these petitioners.*

*iii. If any Input Tax Credit has remained utilized, it shall not be utilised until it is scrutinized and approved by an appropriate or a competent officer of the Department.*

*iv. Only such approved Input Tax Credit shall be allowed for being utilized thereafter for discharging future tax liability under the Act and Rule.*

*v. The petitioners shall also pay GST and file the returns for the period subsequent to the cancellation of the registration by declaring the correct value of supplies and payment of GST shall also be in cash.*

*vi. If any Input Tax Credit was earned, it shall be allowed to be utilised only after scrutinising and approving by the respondents or any other competent authority.*

*vii. The respondents may also impose such restrictions / limitation on petitioners as may be warranted to ensure that there is no undue passing of Input Tax Credit pending such exercise and to ensure that there is no violation or an attempt to do bill trading by taking advantage of this order.*



WEB COPY

viii. *On payment of tax, penalty and uploading of returns, the registration shall stand revived forthwith.*

ix. *The respondents shall take suitable steps by instructing GST Network, New Delhi to make suitable changes in the architecture of the GST Web portal to allow these petitioners to file their returns and to pay the tax/penalty/fine.*

x. *The above exercise shall be carried out by the respondents within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.*

xi. *No cost.*

xii. *Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed”.*

*The same has been consistently thereafter followed by this Court in various decisions, viz.,*

*a) M/s. Maaruthi Foundations Private Limited Vs Deputy Commissioner (ST) (FAC), reported in 2022 (5) TMI 405*

*b) J. Jayakrishnan Vs The Additional Chief Secretary/Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Chennai reported in 2022 (7) TMI 1226*

*c) TVL. Jeyalakshmi Store represented by its Proprietor, Sivanu Pandian Vs Commissioner of Commercial Taxes reported in 2022 (7) TMI 1275.*



WEB COPY

*d) M/s.Pearl and Company Vs The Commissioner of Commercial Taxes in W.P(MD)No.19127 of 2022.*

4. It is also submitted by the learned Senior Standing Counsel for the Respondents that it is not open to the appellate authority to pass any orders disregarding the limitations prescribed therein. The respondents are bound by the limitations prescribed and hence the rejection of the appeal admittedly beyond the period of limitation is legally sustainable.

5. As stated above, there is a consistent view taken in these matters. The revenue has not challenged any of such orders of this Court and hence the orders have attained finality. In view of the fact that this Court has been consistently following the directions issued in the case of Tvl.Suguna Cutpiece Vs Appellate Deputy Commissioner (ST) (GST) and others (W.P.Nos.25048, 25877, 12738 of 2021 etc... batch) and the Revenue/Department has also accepted the said view as evident from the fact that no appeal has been filed in any of the matters, this Court intends to follow the above order of this Court.



WEB COPY

6. In view of the same, this Court is of the considered opinion that the benefit extended by this Court in the earlier orders referred to above in *Suguna Cutpiece Centre-s case* cited supra, may be extended to the Petitioner.

7. This writ petition is allowed on the same terms mentioned in paragraph 229 of the order of *Suguna Cutpiece Centre* extracted supra and accordingly, this writ petition is ordered. No costs.

Index : Yes / No  
Internet : Yes  
NCC : Yes / No  
ksa

25.09.2023

**To**

- 1.The Commercial of CGST and Central Excise,  
O/o. the Commercial of CGST and Central Excise,  
Central Revenue Buildings,  
Bibikulam,  
Madurai - 625002.
- 2.The Superintendent  
West Veli Street,  
Central Revenue Building,  
No.4, Lal Bhahadur Shastri Road,  
Bibikulam,  
Madurai-625002.



WEB COPY

VERDICTUM.IN



W.P.(MD)No.23345 of 2023

**S.SRIMATHY, J**

**ksa**

**Order made in  
W.P.(MD)No. 23345 of 2023**

**25.09.2023**