

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR
CONC No. 2811 of 2018

(TULSI RAMAYAN SANKIRTAN MANDAL Vs R.K. CHATURVEDI PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND OTHERS)

Dated : 12-04-2023

Mr. V.P Nema and Ms. Vibha Pathak - Advocates for petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chauhan - Government Advocate for respondents No. 1 & 2.

Mr. Mohan Sausarkar - Advocate for respondent No.3.

By order dated 28.03.2023, a non-bailable warrant was issued against the respondent No.3 through the Superintendent of Police, Chhindwara, returnable by 12.04.2023.

A letter has been written by the Superintendent of Police, Chhindwara to the Registrar (J-II) of this Court wherein he has stated that the respondent No.3 has been transferred, therefore, the warrant could not be executed.

We are indeed shocked with the letter of the Superintendent of Police, Chhindwara. The only reason has been assigned that the respondent No.3 has been transferred. Where he is transferred and why the non-bailable warrant could not be executed, has not been stated by him. This is not acceptable. It would appear that our orders are not being taken seriously. Even the Government Advocate is not aware of the same. Therefore, the Director General of Police, Madhya Pradesh is directed to place him under suspension forthwith pending further orders of this Court.

Under these circumstances, when the Superintendent of Police, Chhindwara himself is unable to execute the order of this Court, the non-bailable warrant is directed to be executed through the Director General of Police, Madhya Pradesh.

Hence, issue non-bailable warrant against the respondent No.3 through

the Director General of Police, Madhya Pradesh, returnable by 19.04.2023.

Counsels for the petitioner and respondent No.3 seek and are granted four weeks' time to file their reply to the show cause notice.

(RAVI MALIMATH)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(VISHAL MISHRA)
JUDGE

MSP

