1

ITEM NO.40 COURT NO.15 SECTION XII-A

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22333/2024

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08-07-2024 in WA No. 849/2022 passed by the High Court Of Andhra Pradesh At Amravati)

G. VENKATESWARLU

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

THE DIRECTOR, CFSL (CBI) & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.219959/2024-ADDITION / DELETION / MODIFICATION PARTIES )

Date: 08-11-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSHU DHULIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

For Petitioner(s) Dr. Vipin Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Nandani Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Suryansh Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Krishna Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

The petitioner, in this case, has made the following prayer(s):-

- "a) issue direction to the respondent no.1 to deactivate the machine connection which knows the ideas in the brain forthwith pending disposal of present special leave petition and
- b) Pass any other or further order(s), which may be deemed to be just, fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case in favor of the Petitioners."

Vide order dated 27.09.2024, we have passed the following order:-

"The case of the petitioner is that his brain is being read through a machine. Neither the learned counsel representing the petitioner nor the petitioner, who appears in person, has been able to convey as to what is the real grievance before us.

We do not want to dismiss the case straight away and for this reason we direct the petitioner to appear before the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee. The concerned person of the Committee shall interact with petitioner and if he is able to comprehend, he shall reduce the case of the petitioner in writing in a precise form and place it before this Court within four weeks.

It is also requested that the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee will make efforts to interact through a person who understands the mother tongue of the petitioner i.e. Telugu.

List on 08.11.2024."

Subsequent to the aforesaid order, the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee (SCLSC) tried to interact with the petitioner who was not available initially for a long time. Thereafter, after interacting with the Andhra Pradesh State Legal Services Authority and subsequently taking the assistance of a lawyer i.e. Ms. K.Sarada Devi, who could speak and understand Telugu language with which the petitioner was conversant, the learned Counsel has placed before us in some detail as to what the petitioner wants.

As per the report filed by the SCLSC what we have been able to understand is that the petitioner now wants is that the matter be remanded back to the Visakhapatnam CBI Office, Andhra Pradesh to get enquiry regarding the fake affidavit of Dr.Rajinder Singh, Director CFSL/CBI, New Delhi and find out the person who has given fake affidavit in the name of said Dr.Rajinder Singh and in case it

## **VERDICTUM.IN**

3

comes to finding of fake affidavit, a direction may be given to the Visakhapatnam CBI Office to de-activate the machine and may further direct the Visakhapatnam CBI Office to de-activate the machine connection which knows the ideas in the brain forthwith.

This is the bizarre prayer(s) which has been made by the petitioner whose specific allegation is that there is some machine which is being used and operated at the hands of some persons, by which the "brain" of the petitioner is being controlled.

We see no scope or reason as to how we can interfere in this matter.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NIRMALA NEGI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(RENU BALA GAMBHIR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)