

WEB COPY


W.P.Nos.27983, 27984, 28008,28056,28053,28013,28040,28017,  
28025,28031,28036,28057, 28060,28071,28106,28163,  
28176,28196,28228, 28231,28235,28232,28277,  
28279,28291,28296,28317,28319,28385,28399,28411,28403,28405,  
28408,28419,28139,28143,28427,28435,28464,28481,28497,28498,  
28508,28512,28515,28578,28582,28593 and 28626 of 2024

Dr.G. JAYACHANDRAN,J.

The batch of writ petitions is filed being aggrieved by not considering the representations given by the Members of Rashtriya Swyam Sevak Sangh (in short “RSS”) seeking police protection to conduct route march on the eve of Vijaya Dhashami.

2. The learned Senior Counsels appearing for some of the writ petitioners today report that Yesterday late night, the representations been rejected on the flimsy reasons just to reject the request and circumvent the earlier orders of this Court and the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in this matter.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that some of the basic particulars, such as, starting and ending point of the route march, number of the participants, space for parking vehicles were



WEB COPY

not provided in the representation and that is the reason for rejecting the representation.

4. This Court finds that for the reasons mentioned, the representations ought not to have been rejected. As already observed by this Court in the earlier judgment, dated 22.09.2022, the Station Officer or the higher officials could have called the organiser to provide necessary details and completed the process of granting permission, without rejecting it outright. In any event, the learned Senior Counsels appearing for the petitioners state that wherever the rejection order issued, they have already provided the information sought by the police.

5. Recording the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and the learned Senior Counsels appearing for the petitioners, it is hereby directed that the representatives of the petitioners shall meet the concerned police officers on 27.09.2024 and provide particulars required by the respondent police. The details about the route march shall be finalised by following the guidelines framed by this Court vide order dated 05.01.2023 and report this Court on 30.09.2024 at 02.15



6. This direction is not only for the petitioner, who had approached this Court, but also to all applicants of the organisations, who had sought permission for route march and the said request not yet considered or rejected.

7. Call the matter on **30.09.2024 at 02.15 p.m.**

26.09.2024

ari

**Note:Issue order copy today i.e.26.09.2024**

Dr.G. JAYACHANDRAN,J.

ari



WEB COPY

VERDICTUM.IN



W.P.Nos.27983, 27984, 28008,28056,  
28053,28013,28040,28017, 28025,  
28031,28036,28057, 28060,28071,  
28106,28163, 28176,28196,28228,  
28231,28235,28232,28277, 28279,28291,  
28296,28317,28319,28385,28399,  
28411,28403,28405,28408,28419,  
28139,28143,28427,28435,28464,  
28481,28497,28498, 28508,28512,  
28515,28578,28582,28593  
and 28626 of 2024

26.09.2024